
■ ■ We have to consider not only our own human biases, but also those of our audience, our team, and our competitors.



3. How to Minimize Your Biases When Making Decisions

→ by ROBERT F. WOLF

“**THERE IS ALWAYS** an easy solution to every human problem—neat, plausible, and wrong.” Little did he know it when he penned these words, but journalist H.L. Mencken was tapping into the very core of behavioral decision-making and the need to understand and compensate for it.

Every day, senior managers are tasked with making very significant strategic decisions for their companies, which usually require support by teams of internal and external experts and a heavy dose of research. Theoretically, knowledge-based decision-making underpins every successful organiza-

tion. But, as Plato pointed out, “Human behavior flows from three main sources: desire, emotion, and knowledge.” Firsthand experience and bestsellers like Daniel Kahneman’s *Thinking, Fast and Slow* have confirmed an even broader range of behavioral vulnerabilities and vagaries in our abilities

to make decisions as human beings.

For those of us tasked with modeling the risk/reward potential of various business opportunities, the need to address these influential, and often subconscious, factors in the modeling process is compelling. In the enterprise risk management (ERM) arena, in particular, it is mandatory that incisive analysis of decision options means taking rigorous steps to challenge not only the scenarios we develop but also their underlying assumptions.

From what we have learned from behavioral economists, we—as actuaries in the enterprise risk management space—outlined some of the most prevalent biases that creep into all kinds of risk/reward decision-making, personal as well as professional. By acknowledging and shedding light on these sources of distortion, we can strengthen the relevance and reliability of our decision-making strategies and assessment of potential risk manifesting from these decisions. We have to consider not only our own human biases but also those of our audience, our team, and our competitors.

Minimizing the impact of these biases is crucial. They can sneak into any risk/

CAROL YEPES/GETTY IMAGES



Common Biases and Their Distortions

Anchoring

- We tend to be influenced by numbers, even invalid ones, and we don't adjust away from them as we should.
- Numbers affect our decisions, even when we should ignore them.
- Our questions prime our attention for certain information, ignoring or omitting contradictory data.

Framing

- How a situation is presented to you affects your decision.

Generally our pain of losing is more powerful than our pleasure of winning; hence we really are risk averse to gains and risk seeking to avoid further loss.

Availability heuristic

- Vivid, easily imagined, but uncommon events are highly weighted in our brains.
- Recent events get weighted disproportionately higher than past events.

Confirmation bias

- Our initial decisions become self-fulfilling prophecies.

We seek out evidence that confirms our initial decisions, ignoring information against them.

Commitment escalation

- Making decisions and committing resources doesn't necessarily guarantee a reward, and may produce a loss.
- It's difficult to accept sunk costs.

Hindsight bias

- Once we know something, we can't remember when we did not know it.
- This challenges our ability to learn from past failures.

reward management scenario we develop, unless we exercise considerable rigor at every stage of the process from assumption right through to the presentation of alternative scenarios and their attendant considerations. To address the kinds of biases outlined briefly here, we must challenge our decision-making process by realizing that we both influence and are influenced by the format of the information. The above heuristics served us well as human beings when we were em-

ployed in work such as tilling the land. They do, however, open us up for biased risk/reward decision-making when applied to today's knowledge-based work. To minimize their impact, we must:

- Search relentlessly for potentially relevant or new disconfirming evidence
- Accept the "chief contrarian" as part of the team
- Seek diverse outside opinions to counter our overconfidence
- Reward the process and refrain from penalizing

errors when the intentions and efforts are sound

- Reframe or flip the problem on its head to see if we are viewing the situation in either a positive or negative framework
- Redefine the problem from here on out and ignore the old problem to avoid escalation of unnecessary commitment
- Develop systemic review processes that leave you a committed "out" possibility when trying to "cut the losses"
- Avoid the potential for escalation or further emotional

investment in faulty decisions engendered by premature "public" commitment

Throughout the process, it's crucial to recognize that most risk does not manifest itself from some exogenous contingent event but rather is driven by the behaviors and decisions of people. It is only by exercising the intellectual rigor to challenge our current views of the future and long-lived underlying assumptions that we gain the means to manage the real risks that face our enterprises. I have addressed the "individual" element here. I am a strong supporter that it doesn't end here. I encourage all to read the HBR.org article "What's Your Risk Attitude? (And How Does It Affect Your Company?)," by David Ingram and Mike Thompson, who address that it is not only our behaviors as "individuals" that are relevant but also, and perhaps rather, how we make risk/reward decision-making in groups. ☺

*Originally published on HBR.org
September 24, 2012*

HBR Reprint H009FA

Robert F. Wolf serves as staff partner to the Joint Risk Management Section of the Society of Actuaries (SOA), Casualty Actuarial Society (CAS), and Canadian Institute of Actuaries (CIA).

Copyright 2023 Harvard Business Publishing. All Rights Reserved. Additional restrictions may apply including the use of this content as assigned course material. Please consult your institution's librarian about any restrictions that might apply under the license with your institution. For more information and teaching resources from Harvard Business Publishing including Harvard Business School Cases, eLearning products, and business simulations please visit hbsp.harvard.edu.