

# Supply Chain Performance

## Achieving Strategic Fit and Scope

### LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

1. Explain why achieving strategic fit is critical to a company's overall success.
2. Describe how a company achieves strategic fit between its supply chain strategy and its competitive strategy.
3. Discuss the importance of expanding the scope of strategic fit across the supply chain.
4. Describe the major challenges that must be overcome to manage a supply chain successfully.

In Chapter 1, we discussed what a supply chain is and the importance of supply chain design, planning, and operation to a firm's success. In this chapter, we define supply chain strategy and explain how creating a strategic fit between a company's competitive strategy and its supply chain strategy affects performance. We also discuss the importance of expanding the scope of strategic fit from one operation within a company to all stages of the supply chain.

### 2.1 COMPETITIVE AND SUPPLY CHAIN STRATEGIES

A company's *competitive strategy* defines, relative to its competitors, the set of customer needs that it seeks to satisfy through its products and services. For example, Walmart aims to provide high availability of a variety of products of reasonable quality at low prices. Most products sold at Walmart are commonplace (everything from home appliances to clothing) and can be purchased elsewhere. What Walmart provides is a low price and product availability. McMaster-Carr sells maintenance, repair, and operations (MRO) products. It offers more than 500,000 products through both a catalog and a website. Its competitive strategy is built around providing the customer with convenience, availability, and responsiveness. With this focus on responsiveness, McMaster does not compete based on low price. Clearly, the competitive strategy at Walmart is different from that at McMaster.

We can also contrast Blue Nile, with its online retailing model for diamonds, with Zales, which sells diamond jewelry through retail outlets. Blue Nile has emphasized the variety of diamonds available from its website and the fact that its margins are significantly lower than its bricks-and-mortar competition. Customers, however, have to wait to get their jewelry and do not have any opportunity to touch and see it before purchase (Blue Nile does provide a 30-day return period, though). At Zales, in contrast, a customer can walk into the retail store, be helped by a salesperson, and leave immediately with a diamond ring. The amount of variety available at a Zales store, however, is limited. Whereas Blue Nile offers more than 90,000 stones on its site, a typical Zales store carries fewer than a thousand.


In each case, the competitive strategy is defined based on how the customer prioritizes product cost, delivery time, variety, and quality. A McMaster-Carr customer places greater emphasis on product variety and response time than on cost. A Walmart customer, in contrast, places greater emphasis on cost. A Blue Nile customer, purchasing online, places great emphasis on product variety and cost. A customer purchasing jewelry at Zales is most concerned with fast response time and help in product selection. Thus, a firm's competitive strategy will be defined based on its customers' priorities. Competitive strategy targets one or more customer segments and aims to provide products and services that satisfy these customers' needs.

To see the relationship between competitive and supply chain strategies, we start with the value chain for a typical organization, as shown in Figure 2-1.

The value chain begins with new product development, which creates specifications for the product. Marketing and sales generate demand by publicizing the customer priorities that the products and services will satisfy. Marketing also brings customer input back to new product development. Operations transforms inputs to outputs to create the product according to new product specifications. Distribution either takes the product to the customer or brings the customer to the product. Service responds to customer requests during or after the sale. These are core processes or functions that must be performed for a successful sale. Finance, accounting, information technology, and human resources support and facilitate the functioning of the value chain.

To execute a company's competitive strategy, all these functions play a role, and each must develop its own strategy. Here, *strategy* refers to what each process or function will try to do particularly well.

A *product development* strategy specifies the portfolio of new products that a company will try to develop. It also dictates whether the development effort will be made internally or outsourced. A *marketing and sales* strategy specifies how the market will be segmented and how the product will be positioned, priced, and promoted. A *supply chain strategy* determines the nature of procurement of raw materials, transportation of materials to and from the company, manufacture of the product or operation to provide the service, and distribution of the product to the customer, along with any follow-up service and a specification of whether these processes will be performed in-house or outsourced. Supply chain strategy specifies what the operations, distribution, and service functions, whether performed in-house or outsourced, should do particularly well. Because our focus here is on supply chain strategy, we define it in



**FIGURE 2-1** The Value Chain in a Company

more detail. Supply chain strategy includes a specification of the broad structure of the supply chain and what many traditionally call “supplier strategy,” “operations strategy,” and “logistics strategy.” For example, Dell’s initial decision to sell direct, its 2007 decision to start selling PCs through resellers, and Cisco’s decision to use contract manufacturers define the broad structure of their supply chains and are all part of their supply chain strategies. Supply chain strategy also includes design decisions regarding inventory, transportation, operating facilities, and information flows. For example, Amazon’s decisions to build warehouses to stock some products and to continue using distributors as a source of other products are part of its supply chain strategy. Similarly, Toyota’s decision to have production facilities in each of its major markets is part of its supply chain strategy.

For a firm to succeed, all functional strategies must support one another and the competitive strategy. For example, Seven-Eleven Japan’s success can be related to the excellent fit among its functional strategies. Marketing at Seven-Eleven has emphasized convenience in the form of easy access to stores and availability of a wide range of products and services. New product development at Seven-Eleven is constantly adding products and services, such as bill payment services that draw customers in and exploit the excellent information infrastructure and the fact that customers frequently visit Seven-Eleven. Operations and distribution at Seven-Eleven have focused on having a high density of stores, being very responsive, and providing an excellent information infrastructure. The result is a virtuous cycle in which supply chain infrastructure is exploited to offer new products and services that increase demand, and the increased demand in turn makes it easier for operations to improve the density of stores, responsiveness in replenishment, and the information infrastructure.

In the next section, we elaborate on this notion of fit and seek to answer this question: Given its competitive strategy, what should a company’s supply chain try to do particularly well?

## 2.2 ACHIEVING STRATEGIC FIT

*Strategic fit* requires that both the competitive and supply chain strategies of a company have aligned goals. It refers to consistency between the customer priorities that the competitive strategy hopes to satisfy and the supply chain capabilities that the supply chain strategy aims to build. For a company to achieve strategic fit, it must accomplish the following:

1. The competitive strategy and all functional strategies must fit together to form a coordinated overall strategy. Each functional strategy must support other functional strategies and help a firm reach its competitive strategy goal.
2. The different functions in a company must appropriately structure their processes and resources to be able to execute these strategies successfully.
3. The design of the overall supply chain and the role of each stage must be aligned to support the supply chain strategy.

A company may fail either because of a lack of strategic fit or because its overall supply chain design, processes, and resources do not provide the capabilities to support the desired strategic fit. Consider, for example, a situation in which marketing is publicizing a company’s ability to provide a large variety of products quickly; simultaneously, distribution is targeting the lowest-cost means of transportation. In this situation, it is likely that distribution will delay orders so it can get better transportation economies by grouping orders together or using inexpensive but slow modes of transportation. This action conflicts with marketing’s stated goal of providing variety quickly. Similarly, consider a scenario in which a retailer has decided to provide a high level of variety while carrying low levels of inventory but has selected suppliers and carriers based on their low price and not their responsiveness. In this case, the retailer is likely to end up with unhappy customers because of poor product availability.

To elaborate on strategic fit, let us consider the evolution of Dell and its supply chain between 1993 and the present. Between 1993 and 2006, Dell’s competitive strategy was to provide a large

variety of customizable products at a reasonable price. Given the focus on customization, Dell's supply chain was designed to be very responsive. Assembly facilities owned by Dell were designed to be flexible and to easily handle the wide variety of configurations requested by customers. A facility that focused on low cost and efficiency by producing large volumes of the same configuration would not have been appropriate in this setting.

The notion of strategic fit also extended to other functions within Dell. Dell PCs were designed to use common components and to allow rapid assembly. This design strategy clearly aligned well with the supply chain's goal of assembling customized PCs in response to customer orders. Dell worked hard to carry this alignment to its suppliers. Given that Dell produced customized products with low levels of inventory, it was crucial that suppliers and carriers be highly responsive. For example, the ability of carriers to merge a PC from Dell with a monitor from Sony allowed Dell not to carry any Sony monitors in inventory.

Starting in 2007, however, Dell altered its competitive strategy and had to change its supply chain accordingly. With a reduced customer focus on hardware customization, Dell branched out into selling PCs through retail stores such as Walmart. Through Walmart, Dell offers a limited variety of desktops and laptops. It is also essential that monitors and other peripherals be available in inventory because a customer buying a PC at Walmart is not willing to wait for the monitor to show up later. Clearly, the flexible and responsive supply chain that aligns well with customer needs for customization does not necessarily align well when customers no longer want customization but prefer low prices. Given the change in customer priorities, Dell has shifted a greater fraction of its production to a build-to-stock model to maintain strategic fit. Contract manufacturers like Foxconn that are focused on low cost now produce many of Dell's products well in advance of sale. To maintain strategic fit, Dell's supply chain has moved from a relentless focus on responsiveness to a greater focus on low cost.

### How Is Strategic Fit Achieved?

What does a company need to do to achieve that all-important strategic fit between the supply chain and competitive strategies? A competitive strategy will specify, either explicitly or implicitly, one or more customer segments that a company hopes to satisfy. To achieve strategic fit, a company must ensure that its supply chain capabilities support its ability to satisfy the needs of the targeted customer segments.

There are three basic steps to achieving this strategic fit, which we outline here and then discuss in more detail:

1. **Understanding the customer and supply chain uncertainty:** First, a company must understand the customer needs for each targeted segment and the uncertainty these needs impose on the supply chain. These needs help the company define the desired cost and service requirements. The supply chain uncertainty helps the company identify the extent of the unpredictability of demand and supply that the supply chain must be prepared for.
2. **Understanding the supply chain capabilities:** Each of the many types of supply chains is designed to perform different tasks well. A company must understand what its supply chain is designed to do well.
3. **Achieving strategic fit:** If a mismatch exists between what the supply chain does particularly well and the desired customer needs, the company will either need to restructure the supply chain to support the competitive strategy or alter its competitive strategy.

**STEP 1: UNDERSTANDING THE CUSTOMER AND SUPPLY CHAIN UNCERTAINTY** To understand the customer, a company must identify the needs of the customer segment being served. Let us compare Seven-Eleven Japan and a discounter such as Sam's Club (a part of Walmart). When customers go to Seven-Eleven to purchase detergent, they go there for the convenience of a nearby store and are not necessarily looking for the lowest price. In contrast, low price is very important to a Sam's Club customer. This customer may be willing to tolerate less variety and

even purchase large package sizes as long as the price is low. Even though customers purchase detergent at both places, the demand varies along certain attributes. In the case of Seven-Eleven, customers are in a hurry and want convenience. In the case of Sam's Club, they want a low price and are willing to spend time getting it. In general, customer demand from different segments varies along several attributes, as follows:

- **Quantity of the product needed in each lot:** An emergency order for material needed to repair a production line is likely to be small. An order for material to construct a new production line is likely to be large.
- **Response time that customers are willing to tolerate:** The tolerable response time for the emergency order is likely to be short, whereas the allowable response time for the construction order is apt to be long.
- **Variety of products needed:** A customer may place a high premium on the availability of all parts of an emergency repair order from a single supplier. This may not be the case for the construction order.
- **Service level required:** A customer placing an emergency order expects a high level of product availability. This customer may go elsewhere if all parts of the order are not immediately available. This is not apt to happen in the case of the construction order, for which a long lead time is likely.
- **Price of the product:** The customer placing the emergency order is apt to be much less sensitive to price than the customer placing the construction order.
- **Desired rate of innovation in the product:** Customers at a high-end department store expect a lot of innovation and new designs in the store's apparel. Customers at Walmart may be less sensitive to new product innovation.

Each customer in a particular segment will tend to have similar needs, whereas customers in a different segment can have very different needs.

Although we have described several attributes along which customer demand varies, our goal is to identify one key measure for combining all of these attributes. This single measure then helps define what the supply chain should do particularly well.

**Implied Demand Uncertainty.** At first glance, it may appear that each of the customer need categories should be viewed differently, but in a fundamental sense, each customer need can be translated into the metric of *implied demand uncertainty*, which is demand uncertainty imposed on the supply chain because of the customer needs it seeks to satisfy.

We make a distinction between demand uncertainty and implied demand uncertainty. *Demand uncertainty* reflects the uncertainty of customer demand for a product. *Implied demand uncertainty*, in contrast, is the resulting uncertainty for only the portion of the demand that the supply chain plans to satisfy based on the attributes the customer desires. For example, a firm supplying only emergency orders for a product will face a higher implied demand uncertainty than a firm that supplies the same product with a long lead time, as the second firm has an opportunity to fulfill the orders evenly over the long lead time.

Another illustration of the need for this distinction is the impact of service level. As a supply chain raises its level of service, it must be able to meet a higher and higher percentage of actual demand, forcing it to prepare for rare surges in demand. Thus, raising the service level increases the implied demand uncertainty even though the product's underlying demand uncertainty does not change.

Both the product demand uncertainty and various customer needs that the supply chain tries to fill affect implied demand uncertainty. Table 2-1 illustrates how various customer needs affect implied demand uncertainty.

As each individual customer need contributes to the implied demand uncertainty, we can use implied demand uncertainty as a common metric with which to distinguish different types of demand.

**TABLE 2-1** Impact of Customer Needs on Implied Demand Uncertainty

Customer Need	Causes Implied Demand Uncertainty to . . .
Range of quantity required increases	Increase because a wider range of the quantity required implies greater variance in demand.
Lead time decreases	Increase because there is less time in which to react to orders.
Variety of products required increases	Increase because demand per product becomes less predictable.
Number of channels through which product may be acquired increases	Increase because customer demand per channel becomes less predictable.
Rate of innovation increases	Increase because new products tend to have more uncertain demand.
Required service level increases	Increase because the firm now has to handle unusual surges in demand.

Fisher (1997) pointed out that implied demand uncertainty is often correlated with other characteristics of demand, as shown in Table 2-2. An explanation follows:

1. Products with uncertain demand are often less mature and have less direct competition. As a result, margins tend to be high.
2. Forecasting is more accurate when demand has less uncertainty.
3. Increased implied demand uncertainty leads to increased difficulty in matching supply with demand. For a given product, this dynamic can lead to either a stockout or an oversupply situation. Increased implied demand uncertainty thus leads to both higher oversupply and a higher stockout rate.
4. Markdowns are high for products with greater implied demand uncertainty because oversupply often results.

First, let us take an example of a product with low implied demand uncertainty—such as table salt. Salt has a low margin, accurate demand forecasts, low stockout rates, and virtually no markdowns. These characteristics match well with Fisher’s chart of characteristics for products with highly certain demand.

On the other end of the spectrum, a new cell phone has high implied demand uncertainty. It will likely have a high margin, inaccurate demand forecasts, high stockout rates (if it is successful), and large markdowns (if it is a failure). This, too, matches well with Table 2-2.

Lee (2002) pointed out that along with demand uncertainty, it is important to consider uncertainty resulting from the capability of the supply chain. For example, when a new component is introduced in the consumer electronics industry, the quality yields of the production process tend to be low and breakdowns are frequent. As a result, companies have difficulty delivering

**TABLE 2-2** Correlation Between Implied Demand Uncertainty and Other Attributes

	Low Implied Uncertainty	High Implied Uncertainty
Product margin	Low	High
Average forecast error	10%	40% to 100%
Average stockout rate	1% to 2%	10% to 40%
Average forced season-end markdown	0%	10% to 25%

Source: Adapted from Marshall L. Fisher, “What Is the Right Supply Chain for Your Product?” *Harvard Business Review* (March–April 1997), 83–93.

**TABLE 2-3** Impact of Supply Source Capability on Supply Uncertainty

Supply Source Capability	Causes Supply Uncertainty to . . .
Frequent breakdowns	Increase
Unpredictable and low yields	Increase
Poor quality	Increase
Limited supply capacity	Increase
Inflexible supply capacity	Increase
Evolving production process	Increase

Source: Adapted from Hau L. Lee, “Aligning Supply Chain Strategies with Product Uncertainties.” *California Management Review* (Spring 2002), 105–119.

according to a well-defined schedule, resulting in high supply uncertainty for electronics manufacturers. As the production technology matures and yields improve, companies are able to follow a fixed delivery schedule, resulting in low supply uncertainty. Table 2-3 illustrates how various characteristics of supply sources affect the supply uncertainty.

Supply uncertainty is also strongly affected by the life-cycle position of the product. New products being introduced have higher supply uncertainty because designs and production processes are still evolving. In contrast, mature products have less supply uncertainty.


We can create a spectrum of uncertainty by combining the demand and supply uncertainty. This implied uncertainty spectrum is shown in Figure 2-2.

A company introducing a brand-new cell phone based on entirely new components and technology faces high implied demand uncertainty and high supply uncertainty. As a result, the implied uncertainty faced by the supply chain is extremely high. In contrast, a supermarket selling salt faces low implied demand uncertainty and low levels of supply uncertainty, resulting in a low implied uncertainty. Many agricultural products, such as coffee, are examples of supply chains facing low levels of implied demand uncertainty but significant supply uncertainty based on weather. The supply chain thus faces an intermediate level of implied uncertainty.

**Key Point**

The first step in achieving strategic fit between competitive and supply chain strategies is to understand customers and supply chain uncertainty. Uncertainty from the customer and the supply chain can be combined and mapped on the implied uncertainty spectrum.

**STEP 2: UNDERSTANDING THE SUPPLY CHAIN CAPABILITIES** After understanding the uncertainty that the company faces, the next question is: How does the firm best meet demand in that uncertain environment? Creating strategic fit is all about designing a supply chain whose responsiveness aligns with the implied uncertainty it faces.



**FIGURE 2-2** The Implied Uncertainty (Demand and Supply) Spectrum

We now categorize supply chains based on different characteristics that influence their responsiveness and efficiency.

First, we provide some definitions. *Supply chain responsiveness* includes a supply chain's ability to do the following:


- Respond to wide ranges of quantities demanded
- Meet short lead times
- Handle a large variety of products
- Build highly innovative products
- Meet a high service level
- Handle supply uncertainty

These abilities are similar to many of the characteristics of demand and supply that led to high implied uncertainty. The more of these abilities a supply chain has, the more responsive it is.


Responsiveness, however, comes at a cost. For instance, to respond to a wider range of quantities demanded, capacity must be increased, which increases costs. This increase in cost leads to the second definition: *Supply chain efficiency* is the inverse of the cost of making and delivering a product to the customer. Increases in cost lower efficiency. For every strategic choice to increase responsiveness, there are additional costs that lower efficiency.

The *cost-responsiveness efficient frontier* is the curve in Figure 2-3 showing the lowest possible cost for a given level of responsiveness. Lowest cost is defined based on existing technology; not every firm is able to operate on the efficient frontier, which represents the cost-responsiveness performance of the best supply chains. A firm that is not on the efficient frontier can improve both its responsiveness and its cost performance by moving toward the efficient frontier. In contrast, a firm on the efficient frontier can improve its responsiveness only by increasing cost and becoming less efficient. Such a firm must then make a trade-off between efficiency and responsiveness. Of course, firms on the efficient frontier are also continuously improving their processes and changing technology to shift the efficient frontier itself. Given the trade-off between cost and responsiveness, a key strategic choice for any supply chain is the level of responsiveness it seeks to provide.

Supply chains range from those that focus solely on being responsive to those that focus on a goal of producing and supplying at the lowest possible cost. Figure 2-4 shows the responsiveness spectrum and where some supply chains fall on this spectrum.



**FIGURE 2-3** Cost-Responsiveness Efficient Frontier



**FIGURE 2-4** The Responsiveness Spectrum

The more capabilities constituting responsiveness a supply chain has, the more responsive it is. Seven-Eleven Japan replenishes its stores with breakfast items in the morning, lunch items in the afternoon, and dinner items at night. As a result, the available product variety changes by time of day. Seven-Eleven responds quickly to orders, with store managers placing replenishment orders less than 12 hours before they are supplied. This practice makes the Seven-Eleven supply chain very responsive. Another example of a responsive supply chain is W.W. Grainger. The company faces both demand and supply uncertainty; therefore, the supply chain has been designed to deal effectively with both to provide customers with a wide variety of MRO products within 24 hours. An efficient supply chain, in contrast, lowers cost by eliminating some of its responsive capabilities. For example, Sam's Club sells a limited variety of products in large package sizes. The supply chain is capable of low costs, and the focus of this supply chain is clearly on efficiency.


### Key Point

The second step in achieving strategic fit between competitive and supply chain strategies is to understand the supply chain and map it on the responsiveness spectrum.

**STEP 3: ACHIEVING STRATEGIC FIT** After mapping the level of implied uncertainty and understanding the supply chain position on the responsiveness spectrum, the third and final step is to ensure that the degree of supply chain responsiveness is consistent with the implied uncertainty. The goal is to target high responsiveness for a supply chain facing high implied uncertainty, and efficiency for a supply chain facing low implied uncertainty.

For example, the competitive strategy of McMaster-Carr targets customers that value having a large variety of MRO products delivered to them within 24 hours. Given the large variety of products and rapid desired delivery, demand from McMaster-Carr customers can be characterized as having high implied demand uncertainty. If McMaster-Carr designed an efficient supply chain, it may carry less inventory and maintain a level load on the warehouse to lower picking and packing costs. These choices, however, would make it difficult for the company to support the customer's desire for a wide variety of products that are delivered within 24 hours. To serve its customers effectively, McMaster-Carr carries a high level of inventory and picking and packing capacity. Clearly, a responsive supply chain is better suited to meet the needs of customers targeted by McMaster-Carr even if it results in higher costs.

Now, consider a pasta manufacturer such as Barilla. Pasta is a product with relatively stable customer demand, giving it a low implied demand uncertainty. Supply is also quite predictable. Barilla could design a highly responsive supply chain in which pasta is custom made in small batches in response to customer orders and shipped via a rapid transportation mode such as FedEx. This choice would obviously make the pasta prohibitively expensive, resulting in a loss of customers. Barilla, therefore, is in a much better position if it designs a more efficient supply chain with a focus on cost reduction.


**FIGURE 2-5** Finding the Zone of Strategic Fit

From the preceding discussion, it follows that increasing implied uncertainty from customers and supply sources is best served by increasing responsiveness from the supply chain. This relationship is represented by the “zone of strategic fit” illustrated in Figure 2-5. For a high level of performance, companies should move their competitive strategy (and resulting implied uncertainty) and supply chain strategy (and resulting responsiveness) toward the zone of strategic fit.

The next step in achieving strategic fit is to assign roles to different stages of the supply chain that ensure the appropriate level of responsiveness. It is important to understand that the desired level of responsiveness required across the supply chain may be attained by assigning different levels of responsiveness and efficiency to each stage of the supply chain as illustrated by the following examples.

IKEA is a Swedish furniture retailer with large stores in more than forty countries. IKEA has targeted customers who want stylish furniture at a reasonable cost. The company limits the variety of styles that it sells through modular design. The large scale of each store and the limited variety of furniture (through modular design) decrease the implied uncertainty faced by the supply chain. IKEA stocks all styles in inventory and serves customers from stock. Thus, it uses inventory to absorb all the uncertainty faced by the supply chain. The presence of inventory at large IKEA stores allows replenishment orders to its manufacturers to be more stable and predictable. As a result, IKEA passes along little uncertainty to its manufacturers, who tend to be located in low-cost countries and focus on efficiency. IKEA provides responsiveness in the supply chain, with the stores absorbing most of the uncertainty and being responsive, and the suppliers absorbing little uncertainty and being efficient.

In contrast, another approach for responsiveness may involve the retailer holding little inventory. In this case, the retailer does not contribute significantly to supply chain responsiveness, and most of the implied demand uncertainty is passed on to the manufacturer. For the supply chain to be responsive, the manufacturer now needs to be flexible and have low response times. An example of this approach is England, Inc., a furniture manufacturer located in Tennessee. Every week, the company makes several thousand sofas and chairs to order, delivering them to furniture stores across the country within three weeks. England, Inc.’s retailers allow customers to select from a wide variety of styles and promise relatively quick delivery. This imposes a high level of implied uncertainty on the supply chain. The retailers, however, do not carry much inventory and pass most of the implied uncertainty on to England, Inc. The retailers can thus be efficient because most of the implied uncertainty for the supply chain is absorbed by England, Inc., with its flexible manufacturing process. England, Inc., itself has a choice of how much



**FIGURE 2-6** Different Roles and Allocations of Implied Uncertainty for a Given Level of Supply Chain Responsiveness

uncertainty it passes along to its suppliers. By holding more raw material inventories, the company allows its suppliers to focus on efficiency. If it decreases its raw material inventories, its suppliers must become more responsive.

The preceding discussion illustrates that the supply chain can achieve a given level of responsiveness by adjusting the role of each of its stages. Making one stage more responsive allows other stages to focus on becoming more efficient. The best combination of roles depends on the efficiency and flexibility available at each stage. The notion of achieving a given level of responsiveness by assigning different roles and levels of uncertainty to different stages of the supply chain is illustrated in Figure 2-6. The figure shows two supply chains that face the same implied uncertainty but achieve the desired level of responsiveness with different allocations of uncertainty and responsiveness across the supply chain. Supply Chain I has a very responsive retailer that absorbs most of the uncertainty, allowing (actually requiring) the manufacturer and supplier to be efficient. Supply Chain II, in contrast, has a very responsive manufacturer that absorbs most of the uncertainty, thus allowing the other stages to focus on efficiency.

To achieve complete strategic fit, a firm must also ensure that all its functions maintain consistent strategies that support the competitive strategy. All functional strategies must support the goals of the competitive strategy. All substrategies within the supply chain—such as manufacturing, inventory, and purchasing—must also be consistent with the supply chain’s level of responsiveness. Table 2-4 lists some of the major differences in functional strategy between supply chains that are efficient and those that are responsive.

### Key Point

The final step in achieving strategic fit is to match supply chain responsiveness with the implied uncertainty from demand and supply. The supply chain design and all functional strategies within the firm must also support the supply chain’s level of responsiveness.

**TABLE 2-4** Comparison of Efficient and Responsive Supply Chains

	<b>Efficient Supply Chains</b>	<b>Responsive Supply Chains</b>
Primary goal	Supply demand at the lowest cost	Respond quickly to demand
Product design strategy	Maximize performance at a minimum product cost	Create <i>modularity</i> to allow postponement of product differentiation
Pricing strategy	Lower margins because price is a prime customer driver	Higher margins because price is not a prime customer driver
Manufacturing strategy	Lower costs through high utilization	Maintain capacity flexibility to buffer against demand/supply uncertainty
Inventory strategy	Minimize inventory to lower cost	Maintain <i>buffer inventory</i> to deal with demand/supply uncertainty
Lead-time strategy	Reduce, but not at the expense of costs	Reduce aggressively, even if the costs are significant
Supplier strategy	Select based on cost and quality	Select based on speed, flexibility, reliability, and quality

*Source:* Adapted from Marshall L. Fisher, “What Is the Right Supply Chain for Your Product?” *Harvard Business Review* (March–April 1997), 83–93.

### Tailoring the Supply Chain for Strategic Fit

Our previous discussion focused on achieving strategic fit when a firm serves a single market segment and the result is a well-defined and narrow strategic position. Although such a scenario holds for firms like IKEA, many firms are required to achieve strategic fit while serving many customer segments with a variety of products across multiple channels. In such a scenario, a “one size fits all” supply chain cannot provide strategic fit, and a tailored supply chain strategy is required. For example, Zara sells trendy items with unpredictable demand along with basics, such as white T-shirts, with a more predictable demand. Whereas Zara uses a responsive supply chain with production in Europe for trendy items, it uses a more efficient supply chain with production in Asia for the basics. This tailored supply chain strategy provides a better strategic fit for Zara compared with using a single supply chain. Another example is Levi Strauss, which sells both customized and standard-sized jeans. Demand for standard-sized jeans has a much lower demand uncertainty than demand for customized jeans. As a result, Levi Strauss must tailor its supply chain to meet both sets of needs.

In each of the previous examples, the products sold and the customer segments served have different implied demand uncertainty. When devising supply chain strategy in these cases, the key issue for a company is to design a tailored supply chain that is able to be efficient when implied uncertainty is low and responsive when it is high. By tailoring its supply chain, a company can provide responsiveness to fast-growing products, customer segments, and channels while maintaining low cost for mature, stable products and customer segments.

Tailoring the supply chain requires sharing operations for some links in the supply chain, while having separate operations for other links. The links are shared to achieve maximum possible efficiency while providing the appropriate level of responsiveness to each segment. For instance, all products may be made on the same line in a plant, but products requiring a high level of responsiveness may be shipped using a fast mode of transportation, such as FedEx. Products that do not have high responsiveness needs may be sent by slower and less expensive means such as truck, rail, or even ship. In other instances, products requiring high responsiveness may be manufactured using a flexible process, whereas products requiring less responsiveness may be manufactured using a less responsive but more efficient process. The mode of transportation

used in both cases, however, may be the same. In other cases, some products may be held at regional warehouses close to the customer, whereas others may be held in a centralized warehouse far from the customer. W.W. Grainger holds fast-moving items with low implied uncertainty in its decentralized locations close to the customer. It holds slow-moving items with higher implied demand uncertainty in a centralized warehouse. Appropriate tailoring of the supply chain helps a firm achieve varying levels of responsiveness for a low overall cost. The level of responsiveness is tailored to each product, channel, or customer segment. Tailoring of the supply chain is an important concept that we develop further in subsequent chapters.

The concept of tailoring to achieve strategic fit is important in industries such as high-tech and pharmaceuticals, in which innovation is critical and products move through a life cycle. Let us consider changes in demand and supply characteristics over the life cycle of a product. Toward the beginning stages of a product's life cycle:

1. Demand is very uncertain, and supply may be unpredictable.
2. Margins are often high, and time is crucial to gaining sales.
3. Product availability is crucial to capturing the market.
4. Cost is often a secondary consideration.

Consider a pharmaceutical firm introducing a new drug. Initial demand for the drug is highly uncertain, margins are typically high, and product availability is the key to capturing market share. The introductory phase of a product's life cycle corresponds to high implied uncertainty, given the high demand uncertainty and the need for a high level of product availability. In such a situation, responsiveness is the most important characteristic of the supply chain.

As the product becomes a commodity product later in its life cycle, the demand and supply characteristics change. At this stage, it is typically the case that:

1. Demand has become more certain, and supply is predictable.
2. Margins are lower as a result of an increase in competitive pressure.
3. Price becomes a significant factor in customer choice.

In the case of a pharmaceutical company, these changes occur when demand for the drug stabilizes, production technologies are well developed, and supply is predictable. This stage corresponds to a low level of implied uncertainty. As a result, the supply chain must change. In such a situation, efficiency becomes the most important characteristic of the supply chain. The pharmaceutical industry has reacted by building a mix of flexible and efficient capacity whose use is tailored to the product life cycle. New products are typically introduced using flexible capacity that is more expensive but responsive enough to deal with the high level of uncertainty during the early stages of the life cycle. Mature products with high demand are shifted to dedicated capacity that is highly efficient because it handles low levels of uncertainty and enjoys the advantage of high scale. The tailored capacity strategy has allowed pharmaceutical firms to maintain strategic fit for a wide range of products at different stages of their life cycle.

In the next section, we describe how the scope of the supply chain has expanded when achieving strategic fit. We also discuss why expanding the scope of strategic fit is critical to supply chain success.

### Key Point

When supplying multiple customer segments with a wide variety of products through several channels, a firm must tailor its supply chain to achieve strategic fit.

## 2.3 EXPANDING STRATEGIC SCOPE

A key issue relating to strategic fit is the scope, in terms of supply chain stages, across which the strategic fit applies. *Scope of strategic fit* refers to the functions within the firm and stages across the supply chain that devise an integrated strategy with an aligned objective. At one extreme,

every operation within each functional area devises its own independent strategy, with the objective of optimizing its local performance. In this case, the scope of strategic fit is restricted to an operation in a functional area within a stage of the supply chain. At the opposite extreme, all functional areas across all stages of the supply chain devise aligned strategies that maximize supply chain surplus. In this case, the scope of strategic fit extends to the entire supply chain.

In this section, we discuss how expanding the scope of strategic fit improves supply chain performance. For example, IKEA has achieved great success by expanding its scope of strategic fit to include all functions and stages within the supply chain. Its competitive strategy is to offer a reasonable variety of furniture and home furnishings at low prices. Its stores are large and carry all products in inventory. Its products are designed to be modular and easy to assemble. The large stores and modular design allow IKEA to move final assembly and last-mile delivery (two high-cost operations) to the customer. As a result, all functions within the IKEA supply chain focus on efficiency. Its suppliers concentrate on producing large volumes of a few modules at low cost. Its transportation function focuses on shipping large quantities of high-density unassembled modules at low cost to the large stores. The strategy at every stage and function of the IKEA supply chain is aligned to increase the supply chain surplus.

### **Intraoperation Scope: Minimizing Local Cost**

The *intraoperation scope* has each stage of the supply chain devising its strategy independently. In such a setting, the resulting collection of strategies typically does not align, resulting in conflict. This limited scope was the dominant practice during the 1950s and 1960s, when each operation within each stage of the supply chain attempted to minimize its own costs. As a result of this narrow scope, the transportation function at many firms may have shipped full truckloads without any regard for the resulting impact on inventories or responsiveness, or the sales function may have offered trade promotions to enhance revenue without any consideration for how those promotions affected production, warehousing, and transportation costs. The resulting lack of alignment diminished the supply chain surplus.

### **Intrafunctional Scope: Minimizing Functional Cost**

Over time, managers recognized the weakness of the intraoperation scope and attempted to align all operations within a function. For example, the use of air freight could be justified only if the resulting savings in inventories and improved responsiveness justified the increased transportation cost. With the *intrafunctional* view, firms attempted to align all operations within a function. All supply chain functions, including sourcing, manufacturing, warehousing, and transportation, had to align their strategies to minimize total functional cost. As a result, product could be sourced from a higher-cost local supplier because the resulting decrease in inventory and transportation costs more than compensated for the higher unit cost.

### **Interfunctional Scope: Maximizing Company Profit**

The key weakness of the intrafunctional view is that different functions within a firm may have conflicting objectives. Over time, companies became aware of this weakness as they saw, for example, marketing and sales focusing on revenue generation, and manufacturing and distribution focusing on cost reduction. Actions the two functions took were often in conflict, hurting the firm's overall performance. Companies realized the importance of expanding the scope of strategic fit and aligning strategy across all functions within the firm. With the interfunctional scope, the goal is to maximize company profit. To achieve this goal, all functional strategies are developed to align with one another and with the competitive strategy.

The goal of aligning strategies across functions results in warehouse operations within McMaster-Carr carrying high inventory and excess capacity to ensure that marketing's promise of next-day delivery is always met. The company's profits grow because the increased margin

that customers are willing to pay for high reliability more than compensates for the higher inventory and warehouse expense. The company enjoys high profits because all functions align their strategy around the common objective of customer convenience in the form of next-day delivery of a wide variety of MRO products.

### **Intercompany Scope: Maximizing Supply Chain Surplus**

The goal of only maximizing company profits can sometimes lead to conflict between stages of a supply chain. For example, both the supplier and the manufacturer in a supply chain may prefer to have the other side hold most of the inventory, with the goal of improving their own profits. If the two parties cannot look beyond their own profits, the more powerful party will simply force the other to hold inventories without any regard for where inventories are best held. The result is a decrease in the supply chain surplus—the total pie that both parties get to share.

The intercompany scope proposes a different approach. Instead of just forcing the inventory onto the weaker party, the two parties work together to reduce the amount of inventory required. By working together and sharing information, they can reduce inventories and total cost, thus increasing the supply chain surplus. The higher the supply chain surplus, the more competitive the supply chain is.

#### **Key Point**

The intercompany scope of strategic fit requires firms to evaluate every action in the context of the entire supply chain. This broad scope increases the size of the surplus to be shared among all stages of the supply chain. The intercompany scope of strategic fit is essential today because the competitive playing field has shifted from company versus company to supply chain versus supply chain. A company's partners in the supply chain may well determine the company's success, as the company is intimately tied to its supply chain.

A good example of the intercompany approach is how Walmart and P&G plan promotions jointly. The two companies have a team (with employees from both parties) that works to ensure that the promotion is timed and executed to benefit both sides. Before the initiation of this collaborative effort, promotions at Walmart sometimes required P&G to run its facilities with overtime at high cost. The result was a decrease in the supply chain surplus because the product was sold at a discount at a time when it was being produced at high marginal cost. The collaborative teams now try to increase the supply chain surplus by timing the promotion to have high sales impact while minimizing the marginal cost increase. They work to ensure that the product is produced in such a manner that all promotion demand is met without generating excess unsold inventories.

### **Agile Intercompany Scope**

Up to this point, we have discussed strategic fit in a static context; that is, the players in a supply chain and the customers' needs do not change over time. In reality, the situation is much more dynamic. Product life cycles are getting shorter, and companies must satisfy the changing needs of individual customers. A company may have to partner with many firms, depending on the product being produced and the customer being served. Firms' strategies and operations must be agile enough to maintain strategic fit in a changing environment.

*Agile intercompany scope* refers to a firm's ability to achieve strategic fit when partnering with supply chain stages that change over time. Firms must think in terms of supply chains consisting of many players at each stage. For example, a manufacturer may interface with a different set of suppliers and distributors depending on the product being produced and the customer being served. Furthermore, as customers' needs vary over time, firms must have the ability to become part of new supply chains while ensuring strategic fit. This level of agility becomes more important as the competitive environment becomes more dynamic.

## 2.4 CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING AND MAINTAINING STRATEGIC FIT

The key to achieving strategic fit is a company's ability to find a balance between responsiveness and efficiency that best matches the needs of its target customers. In deciding where this balance should be located on the responsiveness spectrum, companies face many challenges. On one hand, these challenges have made it much more difficult for companies to create the ideal balance. On the other hand, they have afforded companies increased opportunities for improving supply chain management. Managers need a solid understanding of the impact of these challenges because they are critical to a company's ability to grow its supply chain surplus.

### Increasing Product Variety and Shrinking Life Cycles

One of the biggest challenges to maintaining strategic fit is the growth in product variety and the decrease in the life cycle of many products. Greater product variety and shorter life cycles increase uncertainty while reducing the window of opportunity within which the supply chain can achieve fit. The challenge gets magnified when companies continue to increase new products without maintaining the discipline of eliminating older ones. Apple, for example, has had great success limiting its product variety while continuing to introduce new products. This has allowed the company the luxury of dealing only with high-demand products, for which it becomes easier to design an aligned supply chain. In general, however, firms must design product platforms with common components and maintain a tailored supply chain that contains a responsive solution to handle new products and other low-volume products and a low-cost solution to handle successful high-volume products. Simultaneously, variety must be limited to what truly adds value to the customer. This often requires the continual elimination of older products.

### Globalization and Increasing Uncertainty

Globalization has increased both the opportunities and risks for supply chains. The twenty-first century has started with significant fluctuations in exchange rates, global demand, and the price of crude oil, all factors that affect supply chain performance. In 2008 alone, the euro peaked in value at about \$1.59 and went as low as \$1.25. In 2001, the euro went as low as \$0.85. After demand for automobiles in the United States peaked at more than 17 million vehicles, demand dropped significantly between November 2007 and October 2008. In October 2008, auto sales in the United States dropped by more than 30 percent relative to the same month the previous year. The drop in sales of larger vehicles was much more significant than the drop for smaller, more fuel efficient, cars. Crude oil peaked at more than \$145 a barrel in July 2008 and was less than \$50 a barrel by November 2008.

Supply chains designed to handle these uncertainties have performed much better than those that ignored them. For example, Honda built flexible plants that were a great help in 2008 as demand for sport-utility vehicles (SUVs) dropped but demand for small cars increased. Honda's flexible plants that produced both the CRV and small cars on the same line continued strong operations. In contrast, companies that had built plants dedicated to producing only large trucks and SUVs had a great deal of difficulty in 2008 as demand dried up. Clearly, firms must account for global risks and uncertainties if they want to maintain strategic fit.

### Fragmentation of Supply Chain Ownership

Over the past several decades, most firms have become less vertically integrated. As companies have shed noncore functions, they have been able to take advantage of supplier and customer competencies that they themselves did not have. This new ownership structure, however, has also made aligning and managing the supply chain more difficult. With the chain broken into many owners, each with its own policies and interests, the chain is more difficult to coordinate. This problem could potentially cause each stage of a supply chain to work only toward its local objectives rather

than those of the whole chain, resulting in the reduction of overall supply chain profitability. Aligning all members of a supply chain has become critical to achieving supply chain fit.

### Changing Technology and Business Environment

With a changing environment in terms of customer needs and technology, companies must constantly evaluate their supply chain strategy to maintain strategic fit. A strategy that may have been very successful in one environment can easily become a weakness in a changed setting. Dell is an excellent example of this difficulty. For more than a decade, Dell enjoyed tremendous success with a supply chain strategy based on selling customized PCs direct to customers. These PCs were built to order in flexible facilities. By about 2005, though, the market had moved toward laptops, and customers started to place less value on customization. As a result, Dell was forced to rethink its supply chain strategy and start selling through retail outlets. Simultaneously, it started to increase the amount of assembly that was outsourced to low-cost contract manufacturers.

Another example is that of Blockbuster (see the Case Study at the end of the chapter), which achieved tremendous success in the 1990s with stores that carried a larger variety of VHS tapes than existing video rental stores. With the growth of DVDs, however, Netflix used the postal system to ship an even greater variety of films at low cost from centralized distribution centers. The growth in bandwidth allowed Netflix to stream digital content directly to customer homes. Simultaneously, Redbox developed vending machines that allowed some DVDs to be rented at low cost. Blockbuster's inability to adjust to this transformation in technology and the business environment resulted in its bankruptcy in 2010.

### The Environment and Sustainability

Issues related to the environment and sustainability have grown in relevance and must be accounted for when designing supply chain strategy. In some instances, regulation has been driving changes; in others, change has been driven by the perception of the lack of sustainability as a risk factor. For example, the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) and Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) directives from the European Union forced cell phone manufacturers to rethink their design and sourcing strategies. Starbucks, in contrast, was forced to focus on local sustainability of its supply sources because a supply failure, especially for higher-quality coffee, would have significantly affected its ability to grow. The company developed sourcing guidelines to ensure that produced coffee met environmental and social performance criteria at each stage of the supply chain. Environmental issues represent a tremendous opportunity to firms that can often add value to customers and lower their own costs along this dimension (for example, with more appropriate packaging). These issues also represent a major challenge because some of the greatest opportunities require coordination across different members of the supply chain. To be successful, firms will need to design a strategy that engages the entire supply chain to identify and address opportunities for improved sustainability.

#### Key Point

Many challenges, such as rising product variety and shorter product life cycles, have made it increasingly difficult for supply chains to achieve strategic fit. Overcoming these challenges offers a tremendous opportunity for firms to use supply chain management to gain competitive advantage.

## 2.5 SUMMARY OF LEARNING OBJECTIVES

**1. Explain why achieving strategic fit is critical to a company's overall success.** A lack of strategic fit between the competitive and supply chain strategies can result in the supply chain taking actions that are not consistent with customer needs, leading to a reduction in supply chain surplus and decreasing supply chain profitability. Strategic fit requires that all functions within a firm and stages in the supply chain target the same goal—one that is consistent with customer needs.

**2. Describe how a company achieves strategic fit between its supply chain strategy and its competitive strategy.** To achieve strategic fit, a company must first understand the needs of the customers being served, understand the uncertainty of the supply chain, and identify the implied uncertainty. The second step is to understand the supply chain's capabilities in terms of efficiency and responsiveness. The key to strategic fit is ensuring that supply chain responsiveness is consistent with customer needs, supply capabilities, and the resulting implied uncertainty. Tailoring the supply chain is essential to achieving strategic fit when supplying a wide variety of customers with many products through different channels.

**3. Discuss the importance of expanding the scope of strategic fit across the supply chain.** The scope of strategic fit refers to the functions and stages within a supply chain that coordinate strategy and target a common goal. When the scope is narrow, individual functions try to optimize their performance based on their own goals. This practice often results in conflicting actions that reduce the supply chain surplus. As the scope of strategic fit is enlarged to include the entire supply chain, actions are evaluated based on their impact on overall supply chain performance, which helps increase supply chain surplus.

**4. Describe the major challenges that must be overcome to manage a supply chain successfully.** Globalization, increasing product variety, decreasing product life cycles, fragmentation of supply chains, changing technologies, and an increased focus on sustainability represent significant challenges to achieving strategic fit. They also represent great opportunities for firms that can successfully address these challenges with their supply chain strategies.

---

## Discussion Questions

- How would you characterize the competitive strategy of a high-end department store chain such as Nordstrom? What are the key customer needs that Nordstrom aims to fill?
- Explain the major difference between demand uncertainty and implied demand uncertainty using the example of the iPhone 6 Plus.
- What would be the impact of increasing product variety on implied demand uncertainty in the case of a convenience store like 7-11?
- Is it correct to say that the implied demand uncertainty will correlate with the characteristics of demand, particularly for high-markdown products? Why?
- Reconsider the previous four questions for other companies such as Amazon, a supermarket chain, an auto manufacturer, and a discount retailer such as Walmart.
- What do you expect the level of implied demand uncertainty to be for jasmine rice produced by Thailand at a supermarket? Explain your answer with reference to Fisher's model.
- It is important to have strategic fit between the supply chain and its competitive strategy. Given that creating strategic fit requires designing a supply chain whose responsiveness aligns with the implied uncertainty, list the supply chain's abilities with regard to responsiveness.
- Assume a new drug has been developed for the Ebola virus (EVD). Briefly describe its demand and supply characteristics at the beginning of the product life cycle.
- A successful company needs to strike a balance between responsiveness and efficiency. Discuss how companies should prepare for globalization in terms of responsiveness.

---

## Bibliography

- Blackwell, Roger D., and Kristina Blackwell. "The Century of the Consumer: Converting Supply Chains into Demand Chains." *Supply Chain Management Review* (Fall 1999): 22–32.
- Bovet, David M., and David G. Frentzel. "The Value Net: Connecting for Profitable Growth." *Supply Chain Management Review* (Fall 1999): 96–104.
- Fine, Charles H. *Clock Speed, Winning Industry Control in the Age of Temporary Advantage*. Reading, MA: Perseus Books, 1999.
- Fisher, Marshall L. "What Is the Right Supply Chain for Your Product?" *Harvard Business Review* (March–April 1997): 83–93.
- Fuller, Joseph B., James O'Conner, and Richard Rawlinson. "Tailored Logistics: The Next Advantage." *Harvard Business Review* (May–June 1993): 87–98.
- Gattorna, John. "Supply Chains Are the Business." *Supply Chain Management Review* (September 2006): 42–49.
- Gilmore, James H., and B. Joseph Pine II. *Markets of One: Creating Customer Unique Value Through Mass Customization*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 2000.

- Lee, Hau L. "Aligning Supply Chain Strategies with Product Uncertainties." *California Management Review* (Spring 2002): 105–119.
- Lee, Hau L. "The Triple-A Supply Chain." *Harvard Business Review* (October 2004): 102–112.
- Lee, Hau L. "Don't Tweak Your Supply Chain—Rethink It End to End." *Harvard Business Review* (October 2010): 62–69.
- Magretta, Joan. "Fast, Global, and Entrepreneurial: Supply Chain Management, Hong Kong Style." *Harvard Business Review* (September–October 1998): 102–114.
- Magretta, Joan. "The Power of Virtual Integration: An Interview with Dell Computer's Michael Dell." *Harvard Business Review* (March–April 1998): 72–84.
- Nardone, Robert, and Sean Monahan. "How Unilever Aligned Its Supply Chain and Business Strategies." *Supply Chain Management Review* (November 2007): 44–50.
- Olavson, Thomas, Hau Lee, and Gavin DeNyse. "A Portfolio Approach to Supply Chain Design." *Supply Chain Management Review* (July–August 2010): 20–27.
- Pine, B. Joseph, II. *Mass Customization*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1999.
- Ross, David F. "The Intimate Supply Chain." *Supply Chain Management Review* (July–August 2006): 50–57.
- Shapiro, Roy D. "Get Leverage from Logistics." *Harvard Business Review* (May–June 1984): 119–127.
- Shapiro, Roy D., and James L. Heskett. *Logistics Strategy: Cases and Concepts*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing Company, 1985.
- Stalk, George, Jr., and Thomas M. Hout. *Competing Against Time*. New York: Free Press, 1990.
- Swan, Daniel, Sanjay Pal, and Matt Lippert. "Finding the Perfect Fit." *Supply Chain Quarterly* (Quarter 04, 2009): 24–33.

## CASE STUDY

### The Demise of Blockbuster

After struggling with debt and strong competition from Netflix and Redbox, Blockbuster, Inc. filed for bankruptcy in September 2010. This was a sad end for a company that had dominated the movie rental business in the 1990s. Blockbuster Inc. was founded by David Cook in 1985 with its first rental outlet in Dallas. Cook planned to take advantage of a highly fragmented video rental market, in which most of the stores were relatively modest family operations that carried a small selection of former big hit movies mainly due to the high cost distributors typically charged (about \$65 per tape). With 8,000 tapes covering 6,500 titles, Blockbuster had a much broader and deeper inventory compared with that of its nearest competitor. The store operations were also greatly streamlined by a computerized system for inventory control and checkout. The store was a huge success, which prompted the addition of three more locations by mid-1986.

In 1986, because of liquidity problems, Cook was forced to turn over the whole company to a group of investors led by Wayne Huizenga. Between 1987 and 1993, Huizenga grew Blockbuster into an enormous success. During this period, Blockbuster opened stores around the globe at the rate of about one every 24 hours. By 1993, Blockbuster was the leading global provider of in-home movie and game entertainment, with more than 3,400 stores throughout the Americas, Europe, Asia, and Australia. Blockbuster stores were a ubiquitous neighborhood feature that stayed open 365 days a year, generally from 10 a.m. to midnight. Merchandise selection,

quantity, and formats were customized at the store level to meet the needs and preferences of local customers.

In the early 2000s, though, Blockbuster began to see real competition from the burgeoning online rental market as DVDs started to replace tapes. Its major competitor was Netflix, launched in 1997. In addition to being cheaper to purchase than tapes, DVDs were well suited for shipping by mail because they were less expensive to ship and less fragile than tapes.

Netflix challenged Blockbuster on two key dimensions—variety and late fees. Whereas Blockbuster stores generally carried about 3,000 titles, Netflix initially offered more than ten times that amount. In addition, Netflix did not charge Blockbuster's greatly disliked "late fees," instead allowing customers to keep titles as long as they wanted. Netflix's monthly subscription plan offered unlimited mail-order rentals for \$9, the cost of two rentals at a Blockbuster store.

Meanwhile, Redbox, a unit of Coinstar Inc., operated vending machines that rented DVDs for as little as \$1 a night. Despite its best efforts, Blockbuster's brick-and-mortar stores could not match the low-cost operating models of Netflix and Redbox, leading to its bankruptcy (see financial results in Table 2-5).

### Netflix

Netflix was founded in 1997 by Reed Hastings as a pay-per-rental mail-order video rental company. After

**TABLE 2-5** Financial Results for Blockbuster, Netflix, and Coinstar in 2009 (in millions of dollars)

	<b>Blockbuster</b>	<b>Netflix</b>	<b>Coinstar</b>
Revenue	4,062	1,670	1,145
Cost of revenue	1,884	1,079	793
Gross profit	2,178	591	351
Operating expenses			
Sales, general, and administrative	2,020	289	150
Total operating expenses	2,533	399	267
Operating income	(355)	192	84
Net income from continuing operations	(518)	116	29
Net income	(558)	116	54
ASSETS			
Receivables	79	—	61
Inventories	639	37	104
Total current assets	1,060	411	391
Property and equipment at cost	2,374	266	759
Accumulated depreciation	(2,125)	(134)	(358)
Net property, plant, and equipment	249	132	400
Total assets	1,538	680	1,223

experimenting with both pay-per-rental and subscription, the company settled on a subscription-based strategy by the end of 1999. By 2010, Netflix had 13 million members and was the world's largest subscription service, sending DVDs by mail and streaming movies and television episodes over the Internet. For \$8.99 a month, Netflix members could have any of more than 100,000 DVD titles delivered to their homes and could instantly watch a smaller set of television episodes and movies streamed to their televisions and computers. Netflix shipped some 2 million discs daily in the United States.

Netflix focused its strategy around offering a large variety of titles, helping customers navigate titles with a sophisticated recommendation engine, and ensuring that titles reached customers quickly. Whereas a bricks-and-mortar rental store typically carried about 3,000 titles, in 2010 Netflix offered its customers a selection of more than 100,000 DVD titles, most of which were old releases. In 2009, about 70 percent of the DVDs shipped by Netflix were titles with release dates older than thirteen weeks.

In 2010, Netflix had about 60 regional distribution centers across the United States, with sophisticated systems to track customers' DVD queues. As the distribution center processes were linked to the recommendation

software, movies that were likely to be in stock were recommended to customers. When the distribution center received a watched DVD back from a customer, a new one from the customer's rental queue was shipped out. These distribution centers were highly automated for rapid processing and were located within driving distance of several U.S. Postal Service processing facilities. Netflix estimated that it would spend about \$600 million in 2010 on shipping expenses.

Netflix's ability to rent older titles was very appealing to studios that had historically seen little revenue from this content. Netflix bought older DVDs from studios at cost and, in turn, provided them a percentage of the subscription revenue based on utilization for rentals over a specified period (typically 6–12 months). For newer content, Netflix did not attempt to serve the entire initial rush of rental demand. Given the higher initial cost of purchase, the company purchased only a limited number of new release DVDs, preferring instead to wait a few weeks and buy the bulk of its supply at lower cost. Customers could put new titles into their queues and receive them when the DVDs became available in stock.

Between 2005 and 2009, Netflix delivered excellent financial results and grew revenues by 150 percent and profits by about 175 percent. Despite the strong

performance of its DVD rental business, however, the company was focused on increasing the fraction of digital content it delivered. Its streaming service, launched in 2007, allowed customers to watch select movies and content on the Netflix website via their PCs. By 2009, the Netflix service offered more than 17,000 titles (although most new releases were not included in the selection) streamed through a variety of devices. By 2013, the streaming service contributed majority of Netflix's revenue, although most of the profits still came from the DVD mailing business.

### Redbox

The concept of Redbox originated in 2002 within McDonald's Ventures, LLC, which was working to identify new ways to drive traffic to its restaurants and provide added convenience and relevance to customers. Redbox's first kiosk was launched in 2004 in Denver. Coinstar, Inc. purchased Redbox in early 2009.

Redbox's strategy was based on targeting the budget-conscious movie renter who wanted to quickly rent a DVD for immediate use. Redbox met this need by placing its automated red kiosks at easily accessible locations, where customers could rent movies for \$1 per night. Movies could be returned to any Redbox machine and no membership was required.

By early 2010, Redbox had approximately 23,000 kiosks nationwide, including in select McDonald's restaurants, leading grocery stores, and Walmart, Walgreens, and 7-Eleven stores. Redbox planned to more than double the number of its kiosks by 2012. Retailers, who were struggling to keep people shopping, realized that having a DVD kiosk in a store created foot traffic. In some cases, retailers even offered discounts that essentially made it free for Redbox to install a kiosk.

Each Redbox kiosk carried about 630 discs, comprising 200 of the newest movie titles. A Redbox kiosk rented its average DVD 15 times at an average of \$2 per transaction. After that, the used DVDs were made available for sale to customers for \$7.

By mid-2010, Redbox accounted for 25 percent of DVD rental volume, more than Blockbuster. The company was on course to generate more than \$1 billion in annual sales, faster than Netflix was able to achieve that milestone.

### Study Questions

1. In what ways did Blockbuster achieve better strategic fit than local stores?
2. How did Netflix and Redbox achieve better strategic fit than Blockbuster?